

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/462,493	01/21/2000	TOSHIYUKI MORII	P18963 5153	
7590 10/12/2005			EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN 1941 ROLAND CLARK PLACE			ARMSTRONO	G, ANGELA A
RESTON, VA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			2654	
	•		DATE MAILED: 10/12/200	٢

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/462,493	MORII ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Angela A. Armstrong	2654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ma	arch 2005.					
•	action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	·					
4) Claim(s) 1,3,5,6,8 and 10-20 is/are pending in	the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6,8,9,11-14,17 and 20</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,10,15,16,18,19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)	<u>-</u>					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/462,493

Art Unit: 2654

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 5, 10, 15-16, 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Minde et al (US Patent No. 5,991,717) in view of Ozawa (US Patent No. 5,963,896).
- 2. Regarding claims 5, 10, 15-16, 18-19, Minde teaches

an adaptive codebook in which previously synthesized excitation signals are stored; at col. 2, line 64 and col. 3, lines 33-38

a stochastic codebook in which a plurality of excitation vectors are stored, said stochastic codebook having a first sub codebook in which excitation vectors composed of a small number of pulses are stored and a second sub codebook in which excitation vectors composed of a large number of pulses are stored; at col. 7, lines 3-12

obtaining a synthesized speech using excitation information acquired from said adaptive codebook and said stochastic codebook, using LPC obtained by performing LPC analysis on an input speech signal; at col. 2, line 65

obtaining gain information for said synthesized speech using a relation of said synthesized speech and said input speech signal; at col. 3, lines 6-25

Art Unit: 2654

transmitting said LPC, said excitation information and said gain information, as inherent in the speech coder.

Minde et al do not specifically teach executing a voice/unvoiced judgment or calculating the gain of the stochastic codebook to account for the difference in the number of pulses in the codebooks.

Refer to Ozawa (col. 14, line 47 continuing to col. 16, line 21; col. 19, lines 31-55) who teaches a hybrid switched multi-pulse/stochastic speech coding technique, which makes a voice/unvoiced judgment by comparing the frame average pitch gain with respective thresholds, implements an amplitude codebook for implementing the pulse amplitude quantization, and teaches it is possible to obtain positions of any number of pulses with gain variations and to switch codebook circuits or gain codebooks using mode data. Ozawa further teaches the positions of the amplitude pulses are retrieved with a different gain for each group of pulses less in number than the total number of pulses M. Ozawa teaches that implementation of this scheme increases the accuracy of the excitation and improves the performance of the coder (col. 15, line 67 continuing to col. 16, line 3)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to modify the system of Minde et al to implement voice/unvoiced judgments and providing for gains to account for the differences in pulse in the codebook, as taught by Ozawa, for the purpose of improving unvoiced speech performance in low-rate coders.

Application/Control Number: 09/462,493 Page 4

Art Unit: 2654

# Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1, 3-4, 6, 8-9, 11-14, 17, and 20 are allowed.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed March 11, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues Minde does not disclose or suggest the use of two sub-codebooks, namely a first sub-codebook storing excitation vectors with a small number of pulses and a second codebook storing excitation vectors with a large number of pulses. The Examiner argues, Minde discloses a first and second codebook of excitation vectors implemented via transformed binary pulse excitation at col. 6, line 37 to col. 7, line 40, specifically providing three multi-pulses and one 13 binary pulses codebook.

#### Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/462,493

Art Unit: 2654

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela A. Armstrong whose telephone number is 571-272-7598.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 11:30-8:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on 571-272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Angela A Armstrong Primary Examiner Art Unit 2654

AAA October 4, 2005

Angela armstron